

No. ID/FD/64/82/24900.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Chandan Singh Patwal and the management of M/s. Jotindra Steel & Tube Ltd., 14/3 Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Sub-Section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated the 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 54143-Lab-68/15254 dated the 20 June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Chandan Singh Patwal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/GGN/34/82/24901.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Satish and the management of M/s. Sunrise Rubber Industries, Pataudi Road, Gurgaon. regarding the matter hereinafter appearing.

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it it desirable to refer the dispute adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Sub-Section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refer to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated the 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254 dated the 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Satish was justified an in order ? It not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/65/82/24935.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Chabila and the management of M/s Jotindra Steel and Tubes Ltd., 14/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254 dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Chabila was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 3rd June, 1982

No. ID/FD/55/82/25071.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Bedi Ram and the management of M/s Chanda Enterprises, Mujesar, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the Powers conferred by clause (d) of sub section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Governor of Hayana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

whether the termination of service of Shri Bedi Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?